

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				н н ж. шарыл.gov	and the same and
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIR	ST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. , 8 °
09/765,343	01/22/2001		Daryl E. Eicher JR.	58462.000007	9031
29315 75	90 10/17/2002	.		y romany egyzy t egy). Y	is almotexeise :
	N COHN FERRIS	GLOVSKY	AND POPEO PC	EXAM	INER .
12010 SUNSET	HILL ROAD	:	The state of the s	JEÄNTY,	ROMAIN
SUITE 900 RESTON, VA	20190				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ART.UNIT.	PAPER NUMBER
	; ;	18335	'=. 1 ' = <u>_</u> 1 4 0 . 31		,
		TYM E	ceñoasivé to carouu	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003 nipplich(§) filed on 22 J	
		•	ric action is FUJAL.		s action is non-ling!:
	. !			The state of the s	
Please find below a	ind/or attached an			ning this application of	
110000 11110 0010 11 0			or Çiri ms		. Farana
	:	zanii zna	in his continue com-	er Tegrie deu erritorioa.	
	<u>.</u>	A = }	ារ ខណៈ មានរបស់ ។ ខ្លួនសូ	o) <i>jefata</i> walakaw	ar firen renedidendi o m.
risk prof	files	* ,	- 40	and a second	
115.0	•	-	The state of the s		
•		•	inniki) <u>indenti v</u>		
	•			eject to restriction social	
		u کارہ Splication	. ,	her in the man and the second	em a Sinch i i i se porti (Strin SHE)
	, 1	•	•	Seted to by the Evennines	•
-				ected to by the Examiner	
:	3 1 1		•		ted or b) objected to by:
			Ableaut wal not tadin	of that Shy Colection to the	i vi aminui di ua uam ili speñ
		•			
			•		
				•	
	•				
	· · · · ·	. :			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		7. :		ra flar 🔊 arity rassassion of	intermediate temperature (file)

Carab Carab		•	en.	9
11	 } 	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/765,343	EICHER ET AL.	
Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Romain Jeanty	3623	
	this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	-
THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATIOn der the provisions of 37 CF grade of this communication is less than thirty (30) days, are, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by so the maximum after the notice of the same three months after the notice of CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB nalling date of this communication, even if the communication of the communication.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mely filed, may reduce any	· ···
1) Responsive to commu	ِتِ nication(s) filed on	22 January 2001 .	and of the officer of the Sec.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠.			
3) Since this application closed in accordance	is in condition for al	SAME ALLES OF THE LOCAL LANCE.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	5
Disposition of Claims		-4°	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pe				
		ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are a			•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rej	_		المستومين والمالات والمراكب	
7) Claim(s) is/are o	-	ing a Beggio di Magintonia di Santa	Allowing weeks here of it have in	
A 11 41 Ph			ស្ថិតិនៅលោកនេះក្នុងការ មកិត្តិក្រុង លោក 	
9) The specification is objective to the specification is objective.	ected to by the Exá	niner -	ทั้งใด ระโมส์ที่ ที่เคยองที่ แม่ใหญ่ใดตาม การการการการ	แกเรอเร
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	he Examiner bedispersed	
		to the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		isapproved by the Examiner.	
		in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)l	☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies	of the priority docur	nents have been received.		W 7.3°
		ments have been received in A		
application f	rom the Internationa	priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage	
			§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	an)
<u> </u>		e provisional application has b		ony.
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Attachment(s)			·	•
Notice of References Cited (PTO- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

It is noted that paper numbers 6 and 7 were filed on September 24, 2001 and November 1. 20, 2001. However, these papers could not be found in the application. Several attempts have been made to contact the Attorney of record in an attempt to get these papers. However, phone 15, 15 Lande and by reminded that Appropriate world for to mention calls were not returned.

For the reasons stated above, paper numbers 6 and 7 have not been considered.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, line 2-15, Application numbers of cited commonly owned applications are specially positive publicat matter which the applicant regards as his invention. missing. Applicant is required to submit these Application numbers.

4. Claims 7, 9-10, 17, 19-20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

Page 32, line 5 "disbursed" should be --dispersed--.

Page 33, line 11, "comprises" should be -comprise--.

Page 36, line 7, after "modules" please add --Fig. 4--.

Page 41, line 2, "monitors" should be --monitor--.

Page 44, line 20, the acronym "SCOR" needs to be defined.

Page 46, line 1, "process 300" should be --process 300 (Fig. 7)--. office the companies of the second control o

Page 47, line 1-6, Lists 1-5 have not been shown anywhere in the specification or the drawings.

Page 49, line 10, "502" should be --504--.

Page 52, line 8, "114" should be --in step 114 (Fig. 1)--

is an amount standing and owings said solved distribution. I

Application/Control Number: 09/765,343

Art Unit: 3623

Page 53, line 8, "of' should" --for--.

Page 55, line 6, "basic information the partner..." should be --basic information of or about the partner--

Page 59, line 19, "such and" should be --such as--.

Page 60, line 15, an agent "818" is not shown in Fig. 15. https://doi.org/10.1111/jimilitir College attitions

Applicant is reminded that Appropriate corrections as mentioned above as well as others and identical throughout the specification are required for the benefit of the Patent Community.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the case Company Subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 9-10, 17, 19-20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7, 17 and 27 recite the limitation "the business relationship" in line 2. It is unclear as to what business relationship applicant is referring to. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. Applicant is requested to amend the claims to recite a proper antecedent basis.

Claims 9-10 and 19-20 recite the limitation "the server system" in line 2. It is unclear as to what server system applicant is referring to. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant is requested to amend the claims to recite a proper antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,343

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-22, 24-27 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatented over Conklin et al (herein referred to as Conklin U.S. Patent No. 6,338,050) general of the language of the l

As per claim 1, Conklin discloses a negotiations system for negotiating and tracking langes messages contracts comprising:

26, lines 3-10 and col. 34, lines 39-47).

enabling communication between sellers and buyers which includes information of a product and at least one key performance indicators (contract terms) (See figures 1a, 11a-1; col. 14, lines 1-14 and col. 17, lines 17-32);

monitoring the activity of contract terms by data extraction (col. 14, lines 2-19; col. 17, line 35 through col. 18, line 6; col. 19, lines 14-27 and col. 33, lines 3-25).

It is noted that even though Conklin does not explicitly disclose specific operating systems such as an engagement, monitoring and server module. However, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art that these operating systems are desirable and required to produce an operational system incorporating the present invention.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 2, Conklin discloses the system of claim 1 wherein business documents exchanged between buyer and supplier comprise a markup language document with tags to indicated data to be monitored (i.e. creating HTML or XML language documents to communicate between sellers and buyers) (col. 20, lines 44-51)

As per claim 4, Conklin discloses monitoring terms, and contracts between the buyer and the seller by extracting document data (col. 14, lines 20-29 and col. 17, line 35 through col. 18, line 6, col. 19, lines 14-27 and col. 33, lines 3-25).

As per claim 5, Conklin discloses monitoring more than one negotiated terms "performance indicators" (col. 14 lines 61-64).

As per claim 6, Concklin discloses keeping track of set of changes "deviations" (col. 24, g. systems as lines 27-35) in the negotiations and alerts (sending changes messages" to an entity terminal (colinvention).

26, lines 3-10 and col. 34, lines 39-47).

As per claim 12, Conklin discloses the system of claim 1 with

As per claim 7, Concklin discloses evaluating business relationship between the buyers and the sellers (i.e. evaluating proposed terms between buyers and sellers (col. 23 line 63 through col. 24 line 12).

As per claim 8, Conklin discloses a negotiating engine for helping participants to buy from any other seller (col. 25, lines 18-25).

As per claim 9, Conklin discloses a server for allowing communications between buyers and sellers and documents to pass through (col. 18, lines 47-65).

As per claim 10, Conklin discloses a server for allowing community etween buyers and sellers and documents to pass through (col. 18, lin

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 11, Conklin discloses a negotiations system for negotiating and tracking contracts comprising:

A negotiating system connecting to users' terminals through a network (col. 24, lines 21-30) for enabling communication between sellers and buyers which includes information of a product and at least one key performance indicators (contract terms) (See figures 1a, 21a-1; and hay, 2 and 2 col. 17, lines 17-32);

monitoring the activity of contract terms by data extraction (col. 14, lines 2-19; col. 17, lines 35 through col. 18, line 6; col. 19, lines 14-27 and col. 33, lines 3-25).

It is noted that even though Conklin does not explicitly disclose specific operating. A manifest systems such as an engagement, monitoring and server module. However, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art that these operating systems are desirable and required to 18, lines 47-61 produce an operational system incorporating the present invention Conklin discloses a server for allowing con

As per claim 12, Conklin discloses the system of claim to wherein business documents has 47-65). In exchanged between buyer and supplier comprise a markup language document with tags to indicated data to be monitored (i.e. creating HTML or XML language documents to communicate between sellers and buyers) (col. 20, lines 44-51).

As per claim14, Conklin discloses monitoring terms and contracts between the buyer and the seller by extracting document data (col. 14, lines 20-29 and col. 17, line 35 through col. 18, line 6, col. 19, lines 14-27 and col. 33, lines 3-25).

As per claim 15, Conklin discloses monitoring more than one negotiated terms "performance indicators" a (col. 14 lines 61-64).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim16, Concklin discloses keeping track of set of changes "deviations" (col. 24, " Col. 24

As per claim17, Concklin discloses evaluating business relationship between the buyers and the sellers (i.e. evaluating proposed terms between buyers and sellers (col. 23 line 63 through a col. 24 line 12).

As per claim 18, Conklin discloses a negotiating engine for helping participants to buy from any other seller (col. 25, lines 18-25).

As per claim 19, Conklin discloses wherein all communications between buyer and it by including to supplier pass through a server system (i.e. the server for allowing communications between buyers and sellers and documents to pass through (col.:18) lines 47,65) gramment is resided on all points.

As per claim 20, Conklin discloses a server for allowing communications between buyers any apparatus and sellers and documents to pass through (col. 48, lines 47,65) din U.S. Patent No. 6,338,050).

Claim 21 is for method performing the system of claim 1 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 22 is for method performing the system of claim 12 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 24 is for method performing the system of claim 4 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 25 is for method performing the system of claim 5 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 26 is for method performing the system of claim 6 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 27 is for method performing the system of claim 7 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 29 is for method performing the system of claim 9 and is similarly rejected.

Claim 30 is for method performing the system of claim 10 and is similarly rejected.

ini in a same a managara

Art Unit: 3623

As per claims 3, 13, 23, Conklin discloses using markup language (col. 20, lines 44-51), but Conklin does not explicitly disclose using a markup language comprising of pXML. Sandhu on the other hand, discloses using XML and parsers (pXML) for extracting document data (col. 8, lines 15-26 and col. 36, lines 50-63). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the negotiations system of Conklin by including an XML and parsers as taught by Sandhu. Doing so would allow buyers and sellers to negotiate prices, terms and conditions iteratively until an agreement is reached on all points. Chain Visibility at 1314MC 2001.

8. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklinet al 22) discloses Till (herein referred to Concklin U.S. Patent Nosi6,338,050) sibility Service; Selected by Peregrice Systems (R) to

As per claim 28, Conklin discloses all the limitations above except for the explicit recitation of enabling buyers and suppliers to initiate an engagement based on information extracted from previous relationship between the buyer and the supplier. However, Conklin does teach maintaining internal databases that contain the history of all transactions in each community, so that sponsors, buyers and sellers may retrieve appropriate records to document each stage of interaction and negotiation as noted in col. 14, lines 24-29. Such a teaching suggests information are extracted from previous relationship by the buyer and supplier. Obvious to modify Conklin to incorporate extracted from previous relationship by the buyer and supplier for the motivation of proposing and negotiating orders and counter offers.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's applicant's disclosure.
- a. Meltzer et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,226,675) discloses a server parsing XML document between trading participants.
- b. Gaus et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,277) discloses a method for facilitating a to the state of the transactions between a consumer and an energy supplier.
- c. Dialog (File 13, Accession No. 01190584) discloses "Exclusive Benchmarking."

 Study: Logistics Wrestles With Service as Shipment Complaints Double" The Real Trademarks.
- d. Dialog (File 20, Accession No. 15118307) discloses "Seeing Through Walls: Tilion Introduces Supply Chain Visibility at DEMO 2001".
- e. Dialog (file 20, Accession No. 15116922) discloses Tilion Unveils Internet-Based
 Supply Chain Visibility Service; Selected by Peregrine Systems(R) to provide Analytics light to Crystal Fack
- f. Dialog (File 16, Accession No. 05919992) discloses "Indx to Introduce Internet-based Enterprise Information Solution, System Provides Visibility and Analysis for Manufacturing Enterprise and Its Supply chain".
- g. Rhonda et al (Dialog file 13, Accession No. 01100775) discloses "Strategic Supply Chain Planning".
- h. Klein et al (Dialog file 15, Accession No. 02271186) discloses "An evaluation of supply chain performance in the Canadian pork sector".

Art Unit: 3623

Page 10

Any inquiry concernir	ng this co	ommunication or earlier co	ommunicati	ons from the	
examiner should be directed I	Romain .	Jeanty whose telephone nu		3) 308-9585. The	Wans :
examiner can normally be rea	ched Mo	onday-Thursday from 7:30	am to 6:00) pm	
If attempts to reach the exami	ner are i	not successful, the examin	er's supervi	sor, Tariq R Hafiz	
can be reached at (703) 305-9	643. ≘	us-		-	; ;
Any inquiry of a gene	ral natur	l US- le-or relating-to the status of US-	f this appli	cation or proceeding	ıg
should be directed to the group	p recept	ionist whose telephone nu	mber is (70	3) 308-1113.	
Any response to this a	ction sh	ould be mailed to:	; ;		
Commissioner	of Pater			analar s = - (Aryanishanalarasiana) =	
Washir	ngton, D	C 20231			• · · · · · •
or faxed to:				ing and a second a	UMENTS
(703) 305-7687	N	Document Number Gount y Code-Kumbet-Kina Code	Date	Ocustry	
Hand delivered respon	ses sho	ald be brought to Crystal I	ark 5, 245	Crystal Drive,	
Arlington VA, seventh floor	receptio	nist.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

August 24, 2002

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3623